

Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Fakultät für Geowissenschaften

Technomass: Linking Urbanisation to the
Metabolism of the Urban Ecosystem
Conceptualisation and Empirical Evidence

Habilitation Thesis
Dr. Luis Inostroza

November 2020

List of Articles

1. Wentz, E.A., York, A.M., Alberti, M., Conrow, L., Fischer, H., **Inostroza**, L., Jantz, C., Pickett, S.T., Seto, K.C. and Taubenböck, H., 2018. Six fundamental aspects for conceptualizing multidimensional urban form: A spatial mapping perspective. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 179, pp.55-62.
2. **Inostroza** L., Baur R., Csaplovics E., 2013. Urban sprawl and fragmentation in Latin America : a dynamic quantification and characterization of spatial patterns. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 115, 87–97.
3. **Inostroza**, L. 2017. Informal urban development in Latin American urban peripheries. Spatial assessment in Bogotá, Lima and Santiago de Chile. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.03.021>.
4. Zepp, H., Groß, L. and **Inostroza**, L., 2020. And the winner is? Comparing urban green space provision and accessibility in eight European metropolitan areas using a spatially explicit approach. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, p.126603.
5. **Inostroza**, Luis, Zoé Hamstead, Marcin Spyra, and Salman Qureshi. 2019. “Beyond Urban-Rural Dichotomies : Measuring Urbanisation Degrees in Central European Landscapes Using the Technomass as an Explicit Indicator.” *Ecological Indicators* 96 (February 2018). Elsevier:466–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.09.028>.
6. **Inostroza** L, Zepp H, Pickett STA, de Groot R (2020) Ecosystem Function. In: Filho WL, Azul AM, Brandli L, et al. (eds) Life on Land, Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, pp 1–8
7. **Inostroza** L., 2014. Measuring urban ecosystem functions through ‘Technomass’ - a novel indicator to assess urban metabolism. *Ecological Indicators*, Volume 42, 10–19.
8. **Inostroza** L., 2018. The circularity of the urban ecosystem material productivity: the transformation of biomass into technomass in Southern Patagonia. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 39(May), pp. 335–343. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2018.03.001.
9. Spyra, M., **Inostroza**, L., Hamerla, A., Bondaruk, J., 2019 Ecosystem services deficits in cross-boundary landscapes: spatial mismatches between green and grey systems. *Urban Ecosystems*, 22(1), pp. 37–47. doi: 10.1007/s11252-018-0740-3.
10. Palme, M., L. **Inostroza**, and A. Salvati. 2018. Technomass and cooling demand in South America: a superlinear relationship? *Building Research & Information* 46: 864–880. doi:10.1080/09613218.2018.1483868.
11. **Inostroza** L., Palme M., de la Barrera F., 2016. A heat vulnerability index: spatial indexes for exposure, sensitivity and adaptive capacity for Santiago de Chile. PLOS one. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0162464.
12. **Inostroza**, L., Zasada, I. and König, H.J., 2016. Last of the wild revisited: assessing spatial patterns of human impact on landscapes in Southern Patagonia, Chile. *Regional Environmental Change*, pp.1-15.
13. **Inostroza**, L., Zepp, H., 2020. The metabolic urban network: Urbanisation as hierarchically ordered space of flows, *Cities* (*in press*)

To Leon, mentor and friend

To my son Damian

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ARTICLES	I
LIST OF FIGURES	II
LIST OF TABLES	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	0
RÉSUMÉ	1
PART I - SYNTHESIS	3
1 THE STRATEGY	5
1.1 INTRODUCTION	7
1.1.1 <i>Habilitation Structure</i>	8
1.2 CONCEPTUAL GROUNDING	13
1.2.1 <i>Separation Between Society and Nature</i>	14
1.3 THE HABILITATION'S EPISTEMOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK	16
1.3.1 <i>The Disciplinary Understanding of Urbanisation</i>	17
1.3.2 <i>Metabolism: Between Natural and Social Sciences</i>	19
1.3.3 <i>The Claims for a New Urban Science</i>	21
1.4 HABILITATION'S EMPIRICAL APPROACH	21
2 SEARCHING FOR THE DNA OF URBANISATION	23
2.1 THE URBANISATION WE KNOW AND MEASURE	25
2.1.1 <i>Urbanisation as Population Change</i>	26
2.1.2 <i>Urbanisation as a Land-Cover Change</i>	26
2.2 PRODUCTION AND REPRODUCTION OF THE URBAN MATERIAL STRUCTURE.....	27
2.2.1 <i>Urban Form</i>	28
2.2.2 <i>Omnipresent Urban Expansion</i>	30
2.2.3 <i>Informal Urban Development</i>	33
2.2.4 <i>Urban Green Space</i>	35
2.3 THE CHALLENGING SPATIAL COMPLEXITY OF URBANISATION	35
2.3.1 <i>Blurred Urban Limits versus Weak Spatial Delimitations</i>	36
2.3.2 <i>The Extended Urbanisation Found in the Last of the Wild</i>	39
2.3.3 <i>The Missing Third Spatial Dimension of Urbanisation</i>	41
2.4 WHAT ABOUT THE IMPACTS?	41
2.4.1 <i>Climate Change, the Urban Heat Island and Urban Form</i>	41
2.4.2 <i>Urban Heat Vulnerability</i>	42
2.5 MOVING FORWARD: URBANISATION AS A CONTINUOUS SPATIOTEMPORAL PROCESS	44
2.5.1 <i>The Forgotten Anthropogenic Stocks</i>	45
3 THE METABOLISM OF THE URBAN ECOSYSTEM. AN OUTLINE	47
3.1 URBAN SYSTEMS AS ECOSYSTEMS	49
3.1.1 <i>Process and Patterns in Ecosystems</i>	51
3.1.2 <i>An Ecosystem Approach to Metabolism</i>	52
3.1.3 <i>Entangled Urban Metabolism</i>	53
3.2 URBAN METABOLISM: FOUR SHORTCOMINGS	56
3.2.1 <i>The Isolated State in Urban Metabolism Studies</i>	57
3.2.2 <i>The Linear Material Approach in Urban Metabolism</i>	59
3.2.3 <i>The Black Box. Flows but not Stocks</i>	60
3.2.4 <i>Material Productivity. Reproducing the Urban Structure</i>	61
3.2.5 <i>Overcoming the Shortcomings of Urban Metabolism</i>	63
3.3 A DISSIPATIVE METABOLISM	63
3.3.1 <i>Heat. Cities as Dissipative Structures</i>	64
3.3.2 <i>The Extended Urban Metabolism</i>	65
3.3.3 <i>Expansive Patterns</i>	66

3.3.4	<i>Urbanisation as Colonisation. The Asymmetries Within Urban Tissues</i>	67
3.3.5	<i>Metabolic Urban Networks</i>	69
3.4	ACCOUNTING FOR ACCUMULATION: TECHNOMASS.....	72
3.4.1	<i>The Material Productivity of Urban Ecosystems</i>	74
3.4.2	<i>Technomass: the Traces at Different Scales</i>	75
3.4.3	<i>Technomass: the Metabolic Traces of Urbanisation</i>	75
3.4.4	<i>Technomass as a Boundary Object</i>	77
4	SYNTHESIS CONCLUSION	79
4.1	TECHNOMASS: EMBRACING URBAN PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	81
	REFERENCES	83
	PART II – ARTICLES COLECTION	99
5	SIX FUNDAMENTAL ASPECTS FOR CONCEPTUALIZING MULTIDIMENSIONAL URBAN FORM	101
6	URBAN SPRAWL AND FRAGMENTATION IN LATIN AMERICA	111
7	INFORMAL URBAN DEVELOPMENT IN LATIN AMERICAN URBAN PERIPHERIES	125
8	AND THE WINNER IS?	141
9	BEYOND URBAN-RURAL DICHOTOMIES	155
10	ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION	171
11	MEASURING URBAN ECOSYSTEM FUNCTIONS THROUGH ‘TECHNOMASS	181
12	THE CIRCULARITY OF THE URBAN ECOSYSTEM MATERIAL PRODUCTIVITY	193
13	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES DEFICITS IN CROSS-BOUNDARY LANDSCAPES	205
14	TECHNOMASS AND COOLING DEMAND IN SOUTH AMERICA	221
15	A HEAT VULNERABILITY INDEX	241
16	LAST OF THE WILD REVISITED	271
17	THE METABOLIC URBAN NETWORK	289

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.	NATURE AND SOCIETY, TECHNOMASS AND BIOMASS.....	15
FIGURE 2.	THE HABILITATION FRAMEWORK.....	17
FIGURE 3.	EMPIRICAL FOUNDATIONS OF THIS HABILITATION.....	22
FIGURE 4.	URBAN FORM: EXAMPLES FROM DIFFERENT CITIES IN LATIN AMERICA.....	29
FIGURE 5.	URBAN EXPANSION.....	32
FIGURE 6.	PERI-URBAN DEVELOPMENT.....	34
FIGURE 7.	SATELLITE IMAGE AND ADMINISTRATIVE UNITS OF CARACAS, VENEZUELA.....	38
FIGURE 8.	URBAN TEMPERATURE AND HEAT VULNERABILITY IN LIMA.....	43
FIGURE 9.	METABOLIC ECOSYSTEMS GRADIENT.....	53
FIGURE 10.	ENTANGLED URBAN METABOLISM.....	54
FIGURE 11.	ECOSYSTEM ENTANGLEMENT AND THE RESPECTIVE SPATIAL EFFECTS OF TECHNOMASS PRODUCTION.....	55
FIGURE 12.	APPROPRIATION OF NATURAL CAPITAL: CONCEPTUALISATION OF SPATIAL LINKS IN THE METABOLIC FLUXES OF OIL AND FOREST (TIMBER).....	58
FIGURE 13.	URBAN METABOLISM BLACK BOX.....	60
FIGURE 14.	METABOLIC URBAN NETWORK: URBANISATION AS A SPACE OF FLOWS.....	70
FIGURE 15.	TECHNOMASS COMPARTMENTS.....	73
FIGURE 16.	TAUTOLOGY OF TECHNOMASS.....	74

List of Tables

TABLE 1. ARTICLES PRESENTED IN PART II-A, CHAPTERS 5 TO 9.	9
TABLE 2. ARTICLES PRESENTED IN PART II-B, CHAPTERS 10 TO 13.....	9
TABLE 3. ARTICLES PRESENTED IN PART II-C, CHAPTERS 14 AND 15.....	10
TABLE 4. ARTICLES PRESENTED IN PART II-D, CHAPTERS 16 AND 17.	10
TABLE 5. FULL LIST OF PAPERS.	11
TABLE 6. ARTICLES' CONTRIBUTIONS.	12

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Harald Zepp for his invaluable support, trust, thoughtful criticism and kindness, without which this habilitation would not have been possible. I moved to Bochum without high expectations, but I found joy, challenges and academic comfort in working with such a tremendous scientist and the kind person. I have fully enjoyed the time I have spent working with Harald at the Ruhr University Bochum. I am eager to further develop all the ideas we are still discussing and developing.

Additionally, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Jose Fariña for believing in me in a moment of my life when I thought that becoming a doctor was out of my league; to Christine Fürst, for her support at the beginning of my scientific career; to Leon Braat, who led me to the major leagues in the scientific editorial environment while shaping my editorial style with a constant flow of knowledge, critical approach, positive attitude and fascinating anecdotes; to Steward Pickett for his incredible kindness and scientific inspiration; and to Erik Gomez-Baggethun, a beautiful person and a permanent source of intellectual admiration. To the last two mentioned, I have learned so much from you both! I will never forget our scientific elucubrations under Patagonian skies.

I am also grateful to the myriad of co-authors (who are also good friends) listed in the papers cited in this habilitation: Marina Alberti, Francisco de la Barrera, Rolf Baur, Jan Bondaruk, Elmar Csaplovics, Dolf De Groot, Zoé Hamstead, Claire Jantz, Hannes König, Massimo Palme, Salman Qureshi, Agnese Salvati, Marcin Spyra, Karen C. Seto, Hannes Taubenböck, Libby Wentz, Abigail York and Ingo Zasada. It has been a tremendous pleasure and an honour for me to have the chance to collaborate with all of you.

I met so many people during the long journey of developing these ideas: tremendous scientists and some good friends who were always willing to provide thoughtful advice or to take the time to discuss a controversial idea, just for the pleasure of having an intellectual challenge. To Martina Artmann, Jan Bogaert, Jürgen Breuste, Peilei Fan, Anna Hersperger, Alina Hossu, Qingxu Huang, Cristian Ioja, Nadja Kabisch, Daniele La Rosa, Stephanie Pincetl, Jochen Schanze, and Weiqi Zhou: thank you very much! It has been a privilege for me to have had the chance to meet all of you along the way during my academic career.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my forthcoming (hopefully!) grandchildren, even if it will be a long time before I meet them. I deeply hope that by the time they read these pages, most of these ideas will be reflected by a strong, substantial, and meaningful brand new urban science. I deeply hope that future generations will master their urban metabolism at all the scales at which it occurs, rather than letting urban metabolism master their lives.

Résumé

Urbanisation is one of the most challenging issues facing humankind in the 21st century. An increasing number of global issues and increasing scientific concerns about manifold ecological problems, including land degradation, pollution, climate change, loss of biodiversity, etc., can be linked to urbanisation. These negative ecological effects clearly show that urbanisation cannot be considered to be a purely social process. Re-examining the theoretical grounding to provide new transdisciplinary conceptualisations between social and natural sciences can be central in urban research to face the current environmental crisis. However, controversy and conceptual confusion remain regarding urbanisation as a concept, thereby hampering important efforts to advance towards a science of cities and to provide alternative conceptualisations.

The highly complex spatial structure of urbanisation and its spatiotemporal continuity are characteristics that challenge our current conceptualisations and operationalisations. The understanding of urbanisation remains categorical, in which space is characterised as urban or rural. Measuring urbanisation by using categorical indicators lacks the quantitative robustness to account for its complexity in sound assessments. To achieve a scientific measurement of urbanisation, novel indicators based on continuous variables are needed.

This habilitation is framed around technomass, an indicator that measures urbanisation as a continuous variable. By using technomass, urbanisation can be described as a continuous spatiotemporal process without needing the arbitrary assumption that population or land-cover thresholds define a particular space as urban or rural. On the contrary, urbanisation is a continuum, where at the vortex, we find an accumulative process producing the very urban material structure: technomass, defined as humanly processed materials. Urbanisation can be analysed as a spatiotemporal process producing and reproducing a biophysical-socio-ecological transformation of the space; this transformation leaves phenomenological traces in the form of purposive material assemblages. These are the patterns that this habilitation has investigated. Such a material footprint can be measured by weight and/or volume per unit of space (m², hectare, or km²) and time (per minute, per day, or per year). By using technomass, even the smallest traces of urbanisation found in the remaining wild land areas can be accounted for and are therefore linked to urban processes. Technomass can also be used to analyse and measure the environmental impacts of urbanisation on ecological processes, climate and wellbeing.

The articles contained in this habilitation present a set of operationalisations tackling urbanisation as a socio-ecological continuous process that has been tracked, analysed and measured by studying the process of material accumulation. This continuous measurement of urbanisation can describe the broad scope of the spatiotemporal intensities of urbanisation comparably from distant geographies and times. In measuring urbanisation, such spatiotemporal continuity relies on a physical measure that can be linked to particular socio-ecological impacts, thereby opening new doors to analyse and measure how degrees of urbanisation affect human wellbeing and ecosystems. The continuous measurement of urbanisation enables scientifically robust measurements and comparisons of urbanisation and its impacts, thereby helping to overcome the conceptual and methodological challenges posed by cross-spatial and cross-temporal comparisons of urban systems.

The empirical and conceptual contributions presented in this thesis have been published in 13 peer-review, high-rank scientific journals. The thesis frames these contributions in a systematic narrative presented as a synthesis in part one. The conceptual strategy involves the concepts of metabolism and ecosystem. Here, metabolism is a complex and interdependent energy-material process, an ecological-historical process acting as the very foundation of the urban system, not a simple metaphor or an organic analogy to be applied to cities. The word metabolism means transformation, change of matter, thus implying the transfiguration of material elements, producing new entities that are essentially different from their original components. Ecosystems are placed on a continuum on which urbanisation intensities are the defining aspect to deal with the spatial structure and impacts over ecosystems. Ecosystems can describe differential urbanisation degrees that are operationally defined by measuring the intensity of their material accumulation per unit of space and time. Urban ecosystems perform a distinctive metabolism that appropriates fundamental material and energy resources from other ecosystems. This appropriation enables a productive circularity that sustains the urban organisation and structure underpinning the material production and reproduction of urban space: urbanisation. At the core of this understanding is the dialectical interplay between ecological processes and the dynamics of material accumulation, biomass and technomass; this interplay determines the structure, path dependencies and future of ecosystems.

The conceptual ground of technomass is sustained in the central thesis of this habilitation: that **urbanisation is a spatiotemporal process arising from the very metabolism of the urban ecosystem**. Metabolism can be understood, described and measured using technomass by relying on the conceptual ground of urban ecology and industrial ecology and using spatially explicit methods, such as remote sensing and geographic information systems (GISs), as has been demonstrated in thirteen scientific articles. Technomass is a transdisciplinary bridge linking several scientific disciplines— from urban ecology to urban studies—dealing with urbanisation and urban environments. Therefore, studying technomass not only opens a broad range of scientific possibilities but also contains the necessary substance to support urban planning and design disciplines in incorporating scientific knowledge in policymaking, as we conclude in chapter 4 and several of the articles supporting this habilitation.

Bochum, November 2020